ANVA Publishing Corporation
ANVA Biologica
Volume 2026, Article ID AB1103

Review Article

Engineering Climate-Resilient Crops: Integrating Genome Editing, Multi-Omics, and Artificial Intelligence for Sustainable Agriculture

Anil Kumar Babu Katika[1]

[1]*Departmet of Biotechnology, Central Tribal University of Andhra Pradesh, Vizianagaram, India*
Correspondence should be addressed to anilkatika7722@gmail.com

Received 09 January 2026; Accepted 01 May 2026

Abstract
Climate change poses unprecedented threats to global food security, with rising temperatures, drought, salinity, flooding, and emerging pests projected to reduce crop yields by 15-25% by 2050. This comprehensive review examines how modern biotechnology can accelerate the development of climate-resilient crops to meet these challenges. We synthesize recent advances across multiple domains, including the molecular basis of stress tolerance, genome editing technologies (CRISPR-Cas, base editing, prime editing), multi-omics integration, and artificial intelligence-driven breeding platforms. The review critically evaluates successful case studies, including drought-tolerant maize in sub-Saharan Africa, submergence-tolerant rice in South Asia, and CRISPR-edited wheat, rice, and soybean varieties with enhanced abiotic stress tolerance. We discuss biosafety frameworks, regulatory landscapes across major jurisdictions, public acceptance challenges, and socioeconomic considerations affecting technology adoption. Key challenges including off-target effects, trait complexity, polygenic stress responses, and field validation limitations are analyzed with proposed solutions. Future perspectives highlight CRISPR 3.0 technologies, AI-assisted breeding, digital twin technologies, and climate-smart agriculture integration as transformative approaches. By integrating these innovative technologies with sustainable agricultural practices, we argue that biotechnology can significantly contribute to achieving Sustainable Development Goal 2 (Zero Hunger) while maintaining environmental sustainability.

Keywords: climate-resilient crops, genome editing, CRISPR-Cas, abiotic stress tolerance, multi-omics

1. Introduction

1.1 Global Climate Change and Food Security

The global food system faces an unprecedented convergence of challenges as climate change accelerates and the world population approaches 9.7 billion by 2050 [1]. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), approximately 828 million people were affected by hunger in 2021, and this number continues to rise due to climate-induced disruptions in agricultural production [2]. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) projects that for many crops, a temperature increase of approximately 1°C is associated with yield decreases of 5-10%, particularly for wheat and maize [3]. Molotoks et al. (2021) demonstrated through comprehensive meta-analysis that land use, population growth, and climate change collectively threaten global food security, with significant yield reductions projected across major producing regions [4].

The situation is particularly dire in developing regions. In Africa, where agriculture remains predominantly rain-fed and infrastructure is limited, climate change is expected to widen existing yield gaps. The continent's food deficit could reach 188.3 million metric tons by 2050 if current production intensity is not transformed [4]. These statistics highlight that climate change is not merely an environmental concern but a pressing humanitarian and security issue requiring immediate intervention through advanced biotechnological approaches.

1.2 Impact of Climate Change on Agriculture

Climate change manifests through multiple abiotic stresses that collectively threaten agricultural productivity. Rising temperatures accelerate crop development, reduce photosynthetic efficiency, and increase evapotranspiration rates. Drought stress affects approximately 50% of the maize area in eastern and southern Africa [5]. Salinity stress impacts over 20% of irrigated agricultural land globally, rendering previously productive fields unsuitable for cultivation. Flooding and waterlogging affect millions of hectares annually, particularly in South and Southeast Asia where flash floods destroy rice crops that could otherwise feed 30 million people per year [6].

Beyond individual stresses, climate change is increasing the frequency of compound stress events, where multiple stresses occur simultaneously or sequentially. For instance, heat and drought often co-occur during critical reproductive stages, causing disproportionate yield losses compared to either stress alone. Furthermore, climate change is altering the distribution and virulence of plant diseases and pests, introducing new biotic pressures that existing crop varieties are ill-equipped to resist [7].

1.3 Historical Development of Crop Improvement Technologies

The history of crop improvement spans millennia, from early farmers selecting superior plants to today's precision genome editing. The Green Revolution of the 1960s-70s, led by Norman Borlaug and colleagues, introduced semi-dwarf wheat and rice varieties that dramatically increased yields and averted mass famine in Asia. This achievement relied on conventional breeding and empirical selection, supported by increased fertilizer and irrigation inputs. The gene revolution that followed introduced recombinant DNA technology and transgenic crops, with Bt cotton and maize becoming widely adopted for pest resistance. However, conventional breeding is time-intensive, typically requiring 10-20 years to develop a new variety [8].

The emergence of genome editing technologies, particularly CRISPR-Cas9 in 2012, has fundamentally altered the landscape by enabling precise, targeted modifications without necessarily introducing foreign DNA [9]. These advances have converged with rapid developments in omics technologies, computational biology, and artificial intelligence to create unprecedented opportunities for accelerating crop improvement beyond what was possible with earlier approaches.

1.4 Role of Biotechnology in Sustainable Agriculture

Modern biotechnology offers a suite of tools that can address the limitations of previous approaches while meeting the urgent timeline imposed by climate change. Speed breeding protocols can achieve 4-6 generations per year compared to 1-2 through conventional methods [8]. Genomic selection enables prediction of complex traits from marker data, dramatically increasing selection efficiency [10]. CRISPR-based genome editing allows precise modification of stress-responsive genes, creating novel alleles that enhance tolerance without the regulatory burden associated with transgenic crops in many jurisdictions [11].

The integration of these technologies with precision agriculture, remote sensing, and AI-driven decision support systems creates a comprehensive framework for climate-smart agriculture. Multi-omics approaches provide unprecedented insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying stress tolerance, while synthetic biology offers the potential to engineer entirely new metabolic pathways for stress adaptation [12].

2. Climate Change and Agricultural Challenges

2.1 Rising Temperatures and Heat Stress

Global mean temperatures have increased by approximately 1.1°C above pre-industrial levels, with projections indicating 1.5-4.5°C warming by 2100 depending on emission scenarios [3]. Elevated temperatures affect crop physiology through multiple mechanisms: reduced photosynthetic efficiency due to Rubisco oxygenation at high temperatures, accelerated development leading to shortened grain-filling periods, increased sterility from heat stress during flowering, and enhanced evaporative demand. For every 1°C increase in global mean temperature, wheat yields are projected to decline by 6%, maize by 7.4%, and rice by 3.2% [3]. Heat stress during reproductive development is particularly damaging, as pollen viability and fertilization processes are highly temperature-sensitive.

2.2 Drought Stress

Drought represents the most pervasive abiotic stress affecting global agriculture. It is estimated that drought stress reduces average yields for major crops by more than 50% in affected regions [5]. The frequency and severity of drought events have increased significantly over recent decades, with the 2022 European drought reducing crop yields by 15-20% across multiple countries. In sub-Saharan Africa, where approximately 50% of the maize area is affected by drought, yield losses can reach 60-100% in severe years [5]. Drought stress during the reproductive stage is particularly devastating, as even transient water deficit during flowering can cause irreversible yield reductions through impaired pollination and kernel abortion.

2.3 Salinity and Flooding Stress

Soil salinity affects approximately 1 billion hectares globally, representing about 7% of the Earth's land area and 20% of irrigated agricultural land. Climate change is accelerating salinization through sea-level rise causing saltwater intrusion in coastal agricultural areas, increased evapotranspiration concentrating salts in surface soils, and reduced freshwater availability forcing reliance on brackish water for irrigation [13]. Flooding affects approximately 16 million hectares of agricultural land annually, with particularly severe impacts in South and Southeast Asia. Flash floods during the monsoon season destroy rice crops across millions of hectares, causing annual losses of 4 million tons of rice in Bangladesh and India alone [6].

2.4 Emerging Pests and Diseases

Climate change is altering the geographic distribution, host range, and virulence of plant pathogens and pests. Warmer winters allow pest populations to survive and expand into previously unsuitable regions. Elevated CO_2 can increase plant susceptibility to certain pathogens while altering plant chemistry in ways that affect herbivore performance [7]. The emergence of wheat blast, fall armyworm, and new virulence races of stem rust exemplifies how climate change is creating new biotic challenges that existing crop varieties are unprepared to meet. These compounding stresses create an urgent need for crop varieties with enhanced resilience to multiple simultaneous challenges.

Climate Stress	Primary Impact	Affected Area	Key Crops
Rising temperatures	Heat sterility, reduced photosynthesis	Global; tropics severely	Wheat, maize, rice
Drought stress	Water deficit, yield reduction	~50% maize area in SSA	Maize, wheat, rice
Salinity stress	Ionic toxicity, osmotic stress	20% irrigated land	Rice, wheat, cotton
Flooding	Oxygen deprivation, yield loss	16 million ha annually	Rice, maize
Emerging pests	Yield loss, quality reduction	Expanding ranges	Wheat, maize

Table 1. Major Climate Stresses Affecting Global Agriculture

3. Molecular Basis of Climate Stress Tolerance

3.1 Stress Perception and Signal Transduction

Plants perceive abiotic stresses through sophisticated sensory systems that detect perturbations at the cellular and organismal levels. Membrane-bound receptor-like kinases (RLKs) serve as primary sensors, detecting changes in cell wall integrity, osmotic pressure, and extracellular ligands. Under drought and salinity stress, mechanosensitive ion channels detect membrane deformation and trigger calcium influx [14]. Calcium ions (Ca^{2+}) serve as critical second messengers, with stress-induced Ca^{2+} signatures encoding specificity through their amplitude, frequency, and subcellular localization. The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade represents a core signaling module, comprising MAPKKK, MAPKK, and MAPK components that are sequentially activated by phosphorylation to relay stress signals from the plasma membrane to the nucleus [14].

3.2 Transcription Factors in Stress Responses

Transcription factors (TFs) are master regulators that orchestrate stress-responsive gene expression programs. Several TF families have been implicated in abiotic stress tolerance. The AP2/ERF family includes DREB (Dehydration Responsive Element Binding) subfamily members DREB1A/CBF and DREB2A that regulate cold and drought-responsive genes by binding to the DRE/CRT cis-element [15]. Overexpression of DREB1A activates multiple downstream genes, enhancing tolerance to freezing, drought, and salinity. The NAC family TFs such as SNAC1 significantly improve drought tolerance at the reproductive stage in rice. WRKY TFs including WRKY33 and WRKY63 regulate biotic and abiotic stress responses through complex regulatory networks [15]. The bZIP family ABF/AREB subgroup mediates ABA-dependent stress signaling, with ABF2/AREB1, ABF3, and ABF4 activated by SnRK2-mediated phosphorylation to induce expression of ABA-responsive genes.

3.3 Hormonal Regulation and ROS Homeostasis

Phytohormones are critical signaling molecules that coordinate stress responses with growth and development. Abscisic acid (ABA) is the primary stress hormone, accumulating rapidly under drought and salinity stress. ABA promotes stomatal closure through regulation of ion channels in guard cells, activates stress-responsive gene expression, and inhibits seed germination under unfavorable conditions [14]. Other hormones modulate stress tolerance through complex crosstalk with ABA signaling. Cytokinins negatively regulate drought tolerance by promoting stomatal opening, while ethylene regulates submergence tolerance through the SUB1A-EIN3-ERF module [6]. Abiotic stresses trigger reactive oxygen species (ROS) production through photorespiration, mitochondrial electron transport, and NADPH oxidase activity. Plants have evolved sophisticated antioxidant defense systems including superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and glutathione peroxidase (GPX) to maintain ROS homeostasis [16].

3.4 Stress-Responsive Genes and Epigenetic Regulation

Stress-responsive genes can be categorized into functional proteins that directly protect against stress, and regulatory proteins that control stress signaling and gene expression. Functional genes include Late Embryogenesis Abundant (LEA) proteins that stabilize membranes and proteins under dehydration stress, heat shock proteins (HSPs) that prevent protein denaturation under heat stress, and osmoprotectant biosynthesis enzymes such as P5CS that catalyzes proline biosynthesis [16]. Epigenetic mechanisms provide an additional layer of regulation that enables rapid, reversible adaptation to environmental fluctuations without changes in DNA sequence. DNA methylation at cytosine residues regulates stress-responsive gene expression, with genome-wide demethylation occurring at stress-responsive loci under drought stress [17]. Histone modifications including H3K4me3 deposition at heat shock protein genes create transcriptional memory that primes enhanced responses to subsequent heat exposure [17].

4. Modern Biotechnological Approaches

4.1 Conventional Genetic Engineering and Transgenic Technologies

Transgenic technology, involving the introduction of foreign genes into plant genomes, has been instrumental in developing stress-tolerant crops. Early successes included the expression of bacterial betA (betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase) in cotton, tobacco, and rice for glycine betaine synthesis and enhanced drought and salinity tolerance. Overexpression of AtNHX1 (Arabidopsis vacuolar Na^+/H^+ antiporter) in tomato, canola, and cotton improved salt tolerance by facilitating Na^+ sequestration into vacuoles [13]. The SUB1A gene from the landrace FR13A was introduced into popular rice varieties through marker-assisted backcrossing, creating Sub1 varieties that survive 14-17 days of complete submergence [6]. Field trials demonstrated that Swarna-Sub1 maintains 80-95% survival under submergence compared to 0-20% for the original Swarna, providing 1-3 tons per hectare yield advantage following floods [6].

4.2 RNA Interference and Marker-Assisted Selection

RNA interference (RNAi) provides a powerful tool for gene silencing through post-transcriptional mRNA degradation. Unlike transgenic approaches that introduce foreign proteins, RNAi-based strategies produce small RNAs that specifically target endogenous genes for silencing. RNAi-mediated silencing of OsRRK1 in rice enhanced drought tolerance by reducing ABA sensitivity [9]. Host-induced gene silencing (HIGS) targeting fungal Dicer-like genes has been used to confer resistance to powdery mildew and other fungal pathogens. Marker-assisted selection (MAS) enables precise tracking of desirable alleles through DNA markers linked to quantitative trait loci (QTL) or known genes. MAS has been particularly effective for introgressing major-effect stress tolerance genes into elite backgrounds, with the development of Sub1 rice varieties exemplifying successful MAS application [6].

4.3 Genomic Selection and Speed Breeding

Genomic selection (GS) uses genome-wide marker data to predict breeding values, enabling selection based on genetic merit rather than phenotypic performance. GS is particularly valuable for polygenic stress tolerance traits where individual QTL effects are small [10]. GS has been successfully applied for drought tolerance in maize, wheat, and rice, achieving prediction accuracies of 0.3-0.7 depending on trait heritability and training population size. Multi-environment GS models that incorporate genotype x environment interactions have improved prediction accuracy across diverse target environments. Speed breeding (SB) accelerates generation turnover by manipulating environmental conditions in controlled environments. The technique, pioneered at the University of Queensland, achieves 4-6 generations per year for wheat, barley, chickpea, and rice compared to 1-2 generations through conventional field breeding [8]. Ahmar et al. (2020) provided comprehensive analysis of conventional and molecular techniques from simple breeding to speed breeding, documenting the remarkable advances in crop improvement protocols [8].

4.4 Synthetic Biology Approaches

Synthetic biology applies engineering principles to design and construct novel biological systems for improved stress tolerance. Key applications include gene circuits for conditional stress responses, metabolic engineering for osmoprotectant overproduction, and synthetic signaling pathways for enhanced stress perception. The Realizing Increased Photosynthetic Efficiency (RIPE) project has engineered synthetic photorespiratory pathways that increase photosynthetic efficiency by more than 40% in tobacco, with translation to rice and soybean underway [12]. De novo domestication of wild species using genome editing represents a novel precision breeding approach. Wild relatives of crops often possess superior stress tolerance that was lost during domestication. By editing domestication genes (Sh1, Q, Wx, tb1) in wild accessions, researchers are creating domesticated wild varieties that combine stress tolerance with acceptable agronomic performance [12].

5. Genome Editing for Climate Resilience

5.1 CRISPR-Cas Systems

The CRISPR-Cas system has revolutionized genome editing across all domains of life. In plants, CRISPR-Cas9 (using Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9) and CRISPR-Cas12a are the most widely deployed systems. Cas9 generates blunt-end double-strand breaks (DSBs) that are repaired by non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) creating insertions/deletions, or homology-directed repair (HDR) enabling precise gene replacement [9]. Zafar et al. (2020) demonstrated that CRISPR/Cas-mediated targeting of promoters can enhance abiotic stress tolerance by modulating gene expression rather than eliminating gene function entirely [11]. CRISPR-Cas9 has been extensively applied for climate stress tolerance gene knockout in major crops. In rice, knockout of OsRR22 (cytokinin receptor) enhanced salinity tolerance by reducing cytokinin signaling. In wheat, knockout of TaDREB3 enhanced drought tolerance through altered ABA signaling [9].

5.2 Base Editing and Prime Editing

Base editors enable precise single-nucleotide conversions without DSBs, reducing off-target effects and eliminating the need for homology repair templates. Cytosine base editors (CBEs) convert C.G to T.A, while adenine base editors (ABEs) convert A.T to G.C [18]. Molla et al. (2021) reviewed the application of base editors and prime editors in plant genomes, highlighting their potential for generating edited plants with nucleobase precision [18]. In soybean, base editing of GmAITR genes created gmaitr36 double and gmaitr23456 quintuple mutants with enhanced salinity tolerance through improved K+/Na+ homeostasis [13]. Prime editing (PE), developed by David Liu's group in 2019, offers unprecedented precision for small insertions, deletions, and all 12 types of point mutations without DSBs or donor DNA. In plants, prime editing has been successfully demonstrated in rice, wheat, maize, and tomato, with the ePE5max system generating heritable mutations in maize including herbicide resistance alleles at EPSPS, ALS, and ACCase genes [18].

5.3 Multiplex Genome Editing and Gene Regulation

Multiplex genome editing - the simultaneous modification of multiple genes - is essential for developing multi-stress tolerant crops. Several strategies enable multiplex editing in plants: polycistronic tRNA-gRNA arrays processed by endogenous tRNA-processing enzymes, Csy4-processed gRNA arrays, and Cas12a crRNA arrays that are self-processed by Cas12a RNase activity [9]. Multiplex editing has achieved impressive results: simultaneous editing of 8 gliadin genes in wheat reduced allergen content without affecting other agronomic traits. For stress tolerance, multiplex knockout of OsRR22, OsHAK1, and OsPUB7 in rice created triple mutants with enhanced salinity and drought tolerance [9]. CRISPR-mediated transcriptional regulation enables precise control of stress-responsive gene expression without permanent genome modification. CRISPR activation (CRISPRa) using dCas9-VP64 systems activates endogenous gene expression, while CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) using dCas9-SRDX represses target gene expression [19].

Crop	Target Gene(s)	Stress Tolerance	Mechanism
Rice	OsRR22	Salinity	Reduced cytokinin signaling
Rice	OsPUB7	Drought	Stabilized stress proteins
Wheat	TaDREB3	Drought	Altered ABA signaling
Maize	ZmHDT103	Drought	Modulated ABA sensitivity
Soybean	GmAITR	Salinity	Improved K+/Na+ homeostasis

Table 2. CRISPR-Mediated Genome Editing for Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Major Crops

6. Omics Technologies in Crop Development

6.1 Genomics and Transcriptomics

High-throughput genome sequencing has revolutionized our understanding of crop genomes and their stress-responsive components. Reference genome assemblies are now available for all major crops, with third-generation sequencing (PacBio HiFi, Oxford Nanopore) achieving telomere-to-telomere assemblies that capture complete gene complements and regulatory sequences [20]. Pan-genome analyses comparing genomes of multiple individuals within a species have revealed substantial presence-absence variation and structural variation affecting stress tolerance. In rice, pan-genome analysis of 3,000 diverse accessions identified thousands of genes absent from the reference genome, including stress-responsive genes that contribute to adaptation. RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) enables comprehensive profiling of stress-responsive gene expression programs. Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) is providing unprecedented resolution of cell-type-specific stress responses, revealing distinct transcriptional programs in root cell types under salt stress [20].

6.2 Proteomics, Metabolomics, and Phenomics

Mass spectrometry-based proteomics provides direct measurement of protein abundance, modifications, and interactions under stress conditions. Phosphoproteomics has mapped stress-responsive phosphorylation networks, identifying SnRK2-mediated phosphorylation of AREB/ABF transcription factors and ion channels under drought stress [21]. Metabolomics provides a functional readout of cellular state, capturing the integrated output of gene expression and enzymatic activity. Untargeted metabolomics has identified hundreds of stress-responsive metabolites, including known osmoprotectants (proline, glycine betaine, trehalose) and novel signaling molecules. High-throughput phenotyping captures the morphological, physiological, and developmental responses of plants to stress. Remote sensing platforms, including satellite imagery, UAV-based sensors, and proximal sensors enable field-scale phenotyping of stress responses [21].

6.3 Multi-Omics Integration

Integration of multiple omics layers provides a systems-level understanding of stress tolerance. Yang et al. (2021) reviewed applications of multi-omics technologies for crop improvement, demonstrating how genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics can be integrated to enhance breeding efficiency [20]. Roychowdhury et al. (2023) presented comprehensive multi-omics pipelines for deciphering plant abiotic stress tolerance responses, showing how these approaches can be combined with genome-assisted breeding for accelerated variety development [21]. Machine learning integration using multi-omics factor analysis (MOFA), sparse partial least squares (sPLS), and deep learning identifies latent factors that explain variation across omics layers. These approaches have identified multi-omics signatures that predict stress tolerance with higher accuracy than single-omics data. Multi-omics-guided breeding combines genomic prediction with transcriptomic and metabolomic biomarkers to accelerate selection for complex stress tolerance traits [20].

7. Artificial Intelligence and Digital Agriculture

7.1 AI in Crop Breeding

Artificial intelligence is transforming crop breeding through genomic prediction, trait identification, and breeding scheme optimization. Deep learning models, including convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and transformers, achieve higher prediction accuracy than traditional statistical models for complex traits [22]. Farooq et al. (2024) reviewed the applications of artificial intelligence in plant breeding, demonstrating how machine learning algorithms enhance pre-breeding strategies and regional adaptation prediction [22]. Multi-modal AI models integrate genomic, environmental, and management data to predict genotype x environment interactions, enabling more precise selection decisions. The CropGPT project proposes transformer architectures for processing genetic determinants, environmental parameters, and genotypic configurations, enabling intelligent precision design breeding (IPDB) that uses gene-based functional information for precise prediction [10].

7.2 Precision Agriculture and Digital Twins

Precision agriculture uses site-specific management to optimize inputs and maximize productivity under variable environmental conditions. Variable rate technology (VRT) enables differential application of water, fertilizer, and pesticides based on spatial variability in soil properties and crop status [23]. Remote sensing provides non-destructive, spatially extensive monitoring of crop stress status. Satellite remote sensing (Landsat, Sentinel-2, MODIS) provides multispectral imagery for regional-scale stress monitoring, while UAV-based sensing provides sub-meter resolution imagery for field-scale phenotyping [23]. Digital twins - virtual representations of physical systems that update in real-time - are emerging as powerful tools for crop management under climate stress. Crop digital twins integrate process-based crop models with real-time sensor data and weather forecasts to simulate crop growth, development, and stress responses, enabling in silico evaluation of breeding lines across diverse environments before field testing [23].

8. Successful Case Studies

8.1 Drought-Tolerant Maize in Africa

The Drought Tolerant Maize for Africa (DTMA) project of CIMMYT has developed and deployed drought-tolerant maize varieties across 13 sub-Saharan African countries. DT maize hybrids yield 40% more than commercial checks under drought and 20-30% more under smallholder conditions [5]. In Zimbabwe, adoption of DT maize varieties increased yields by 617 kg/ha compared to non-adopters, providing an additional US$240/ha in income. Lunduka et al. (2019) demonstrated the significant impact of drought-tolerant maize adoption on total maize production in southeastern Zimbabwe, showing how climate-resilient varieties can transform food security in vulnerable regions [5]. Recent advances in breeding maize for drought, heat, and combined stress tolerance in sub-Saharan Africa continue to expand the reach of these technologies [5].

8.2 Submergence-Tolerant Rice

The SUB1A gene from FR13A has been introgressed into six mega-varieties (Swarna, BR11, Samba Mahsuri, CR1009, IR64, Thadokkam 1) grown across South and Southeast Asia. Swarna-Sub1 achieves 80-95% survival under 14-17 days of complete submergence compared to 0-20% for Swarna [6]. Emerick and Ronald (2019) documented how the identification of the Sub1A gene and subsequent diffusion of flood-tolerant Swarna-Sub1 rice represents a landmark achievement in engineering rice for climate change [6]. Oladosu et al. (2020) reviewed submergence tolerance mechanisms, breeding progress, and future prospects, emphasizing the increasing flooding regimes associated with climate change and the critical need for enhanced tolerance [24]. Yield advantage following floods ranges from 1-3 tons/ha, with adoption of Swarna-Sub1 in flood-prone areas increasing rice yields by 10% even in non-flood years through risk reduction that enables greater input investment [6].

8.3 CRISPR-Edited Stress-Tolerant Crops

Recent years have witnessed remarkable success in developing stress-tolerant crops through genome editing. In rice, CRISPR-mediated knockout of OsRR22, OsPUB7, and OsHAK1 created multi-stress tolerant lines with enhanced salinity and drought tolerance. In wheat, knockout of TaDREB3, TaNAC2, and TaMOR enhanced drought tolerance through improved root architecture and ABA sensitivity. In maize, knockout of ZmHDT103 improved drought resistance by modulating ABA sensitivity, while editing of ZmGA20ox3 improved plant architecture and drought tolerance simultaneously [9]. In soybean, base editing of GmAITR genes created high-order mutants with enhanced salinity tolerance, demonstrating the power of precision genome editing for crop improvement [13]. These successes demonstrate the broad applicability of genome editing across diverse crop species for enhancing climate resilience.

9. Regulatory and Socioeconomic Considerations

9.1 Biosafety Assessment and Regulatory Frameworks

Biosafety assessment of genome-edited crops focuses on evaluating potential risks to human health and the environment. Key considerations include off-target effects, on-target effects such as pleiotropy or altered gene regulation, and gene flow potential [25]. Comparative safety assessment - comparing genome-edited varieties to conventionally bred counterparts - is the internationally accepted approach. Regulatory frameworks vary dramatically across jurisdictions. In the United States, the SECURE rule finalized in 2020 exempts genome-edited plants from regulation if they could have been developed through conventional breeding or natural processes [25]. The European Union reached a landmark agreement in December 2024 to regulate New Genomic Techniques (NGTs) under a tiered system, with NGT1 plants exempt from GMO regulations [26]. India exempted SDN-1 and SDN-2 genome-edited products without exogenous DNA from biosafety assessment in March 2022, while China issued Guidelines for the Safety Evaluation of Gene-Edited Plants in 2022 [25].

9.2 Public Acceptance and Ethical Considerations

Public acceptance of genome-edited crops varies significantly across regions and demographic groups. Strobbe et al. (2023) provided a global overview of public acceptance and stakeholder views of gene-edited foods, finding that consumer perceptions of CRISPR benefits are key determinants of acceptance [27]. In the United States, approximately 45% of consumers believe CRISPR-edited food crops are safe for consumption, with higher acceptance among those with greater knowledge of biotechnology [27]. In Australia, 2024 surveys found that 66% of adults are supportive of or open to genetically modified technology for food production [28]. Ethical considerations include environmental stewardship, social justice ensuring equitable access to technology benefits, food sovereignty respecting farmers' rights to save and exchange seeds, and intergenerational equity considering long-term impacts on future generations and biodiversity [29].

9.3 Socioeconomic Barriers to Adoption

Farmer adoption of climate-resilient crop varieties faces multiple barriers. Seed availability and cost remain significant obstacles in many developing regions, with poor farming households less likely to adopt improved varieties due to seed costs [5]. Information and awareness gaps mean farmers may lack knowledge about available varieties and their benefits. Risk and uncertainty in marginal environments make farmers reluctant to adopt new varieties. The Swarna-Sub1 case study demonstrated that risk reduction itself drives adoption - even in non-flood years, farmers planted more fertilizer and cultivated more area due to reduced downside risk [6]. Gender and social equity issues require targeted interventions, as women farmers often face additional barriers to technology access due to land tenure insecurity, limited credit access, and cultural norms [5].

10. Conclusion

Climate change poses an existential threat to global food security, with rising temperatures, drought, salinity, flooding, and emerging pests projected to reduce crop yields across major producing regions. Meeting the food demands of a growing population while adapting to these unprecedented environmental changes requires transformative approaches to crop improvement that can operate on the urgent timeline imposed by climate change. This review has comprehensively examined how modern biotechnology - particularly genome editing, multi-omics integration, and artificial intelligence - can accelerate the development of climate-resilient crops.

The evidence demonstrates that CRISPR-based editing systems have achieved remarkable precision and efficiency in modifying stress-responsive genes across all major crop species. Successful case studies, from drought-tolerant maize in sub-Saharan Africa reaching millions of farmers, to submergence-tolerant rice varieties surviving 17 days of complete flooding, demonstrate that biotechnology can deliver tangible benefits for food security under climate stress. The convergence of speed breeding reducing generation cycles from years to months, genomic selection enabling prediction of complex polygenic traits, multi-omics integration providing systems-level understanding, and AI-driven breeding platforms optimizing selection decisions could reduce variety development time from 10-15 years to 3-5 years - a critical acceleration given the urgency of climate adaptation.

However, significant challenges remain. Technical constraints including delivery efficiency, regeneration bottlenecks, and off-target effects require continued research. The polygenic nature of most stress tolerance traits complicates editing strategies. Regulatory divergence across jurisdictions creates uncertainty and delays deployment. Addressing these challenges requires coordinated action across multiple fronts. Regulatory harmonization based on product characteristics rather than process would accelerate deployment while maintaining safety. The recent shift in the European Union toward a tiered regulatory approach for new genomic techniques represents a watershed moment that could unlock significant investment in climate-resilient crop development. Looking forward, the integration of CRISPR 3.0 technologies, AI-assisted breeding, and climate-smart agricultural practices offers transformative potential to feed a growing population while preserving the environmental systems upon which all agriculture depends.

Acknowledgments
The authors acknowledge all researchers whose work contributed to the scientific understanding summarized in this review. The authors also thank colleagues and collaborators for valuable discussions related to the concepts presented in this manuscript.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization, Literature review, Writing – Original Draft: Katika Anil Kumar Babu

Funding
This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement
Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement
Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement
No new data were generated or analysed during the current study.

Conflicts of Interest
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval
Ethical approval was not required because this study is based exclusively on published literature.

Artificial Intelligence Disclosure
Artificial intelligence tools were used solely for language assistance and manuscript preparation support. The authors reviewed, verified, and take full responsibility for all scientific content, interpretations, and conclusions presented in this manuscript.

References

[1] Roy A, Moradkhani H, Mekonnen M, et al. Towards strategic interventions for global food security in 2050. Science of the Total Environment, 2024, 906: 167-181.
[2] FAO. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2022. Rome: FAO, 2022.
[3] IPCC. Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2022.
[4] Molotoks A, Smith P, Dawson TP. Impacts of land use, population, and climate change on global food security. Food and Energy Security, 2021, 10(1): e261.
[5] Lunduka RW, Mateva KI, Magorokosho C. Impact of adoption of drought-tolerant maize varieties on total maize production in south Eastern Zimbabwe. Climate and Development, 2019, 11(2): 123-135.
[6] Emerick K, Ronald PC. Sub1 rice: engineering rice for climate change. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 2019, 11(12): a034637.
[7] Erdoğan İ, Cevher-Keskin B, Bilir Ö, Hong Y, Tör M. Recent developments in CRISPR/Cas9 genome-editing technology related to plant disease resistance and abiotic stress tolerance. Biology, 2023, 12(7): 1037.
[8] Ahmar S, Gill RA, Jung KH, et al. Conventional and molecular techniques from simple breeding to speed breeding in crop plants: recent advances and future outlook. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2020, 21(7): 2590.
[9] Kumar M, Prusty MR, Pandey MK, Singh PK. Application of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing for abiotic stress management in crop plants. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2023, 14: 1157678.
[10] Wanga MA, Shimelis H, Mashilo J, Laing MD. Opportunities and challenges of speed breeding: A review. Plant Breeding, 2021, 140(2): 185-196.
[11] Zafar SA, Zaidi SSA, Gaba Y, et al. Engineering abiotic stress tolerance via CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome editing. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2020, 71(2): 470-480.
[12] Rahman M, Zulfiqar S, Raza MA, Ahmad N, Zhang B. Engineering abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants through CRISPR genome editing. Cells, 2022, 11(22): 3590.
[13] Wang T, Xun H, Wang W, et al. Mutation of GmAITR Genes by CRISPR/Cas9 Genome Editing Results in Enhanced Salinity Stress Tolerance in Soybean. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2021, 12: 779598.
[14] Bashir SS, Hussain A, Hussain SJ, et al. Plant drought stress tolerance: Understanding its physiological, biochemical and molecular mechanisms. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, 2021, 35(1): 816-839.
[15] Manna M, Thakur T, Chirom O, Mandlik R, et al. Transcription factors as key molecular target to strengthen the drought stress tolerance in plants. Physiologia Plantarum, 2021, 172(2): 847-868.
[16] Hrmova M, Hussain SS. Plant transcription factors involved in drought and associated stresses. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2021, 22(11): 5662.
[17] Chang YN, Zhu C, Jiang J, Zhang H, et al. Epigenetic regulation in plant abiotic stress responses. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology, 2020, 62(4): 563-580.

[18] Molla KA, Sretenovic S, Bansal KC, Qi Y. Precise plant genome editing using base editors and prime editors. Nature Plants, 2021, 7: 1166-1187.
[19] Yadav RK, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S, et al. Genome editing and improvement of abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. Life, 2023, 13(7): 1456.
[20] Yang Y, Saand MA, Huang L, et al. Applications of multi-omics technologies for crop improvement. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2021, 12: 563953.
[21] Roychowdhury R, Das SP, Gupta A, et al. Multi-omics pipeline and omics-integration approach to decipher plant's abiotic stress tolerance responses. Genes, 2023, 14(6): 1281.
[22] Farooq MA, Gao S, Hassan MA, et al. Artificial intelligence in plant breeding. Trends in Genetics, 2024, 40(9): 892-905.
[23] Amin A, Zaman W, Park SJ. Harnessing multi-omics and predictive modeling for climate-resilient crop breeding: from genomes to fields. Genes, 2025, 16(7): 809.
[24] Oladosu Y, Rafii MY, Arolu F, et al. Submergence tolerance in rice: Review of mechanism, breeding and future prospects. Sustainability, 2020, 12(4): 1632.
[25] Turnbull C, Lillemo M, Hvoslef-Eide TAK. Global regulation of genetically modified crops amid the gene edited crop boom - a review. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2021, 12: 630396.
[26] Vora Z, Pandya J, Sangh C, Vaikuntapu PR. The evolving landscape of global regulations on genome-edited crops. Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 2023, 32: 418-433.
[27] Strobbe S, Wesana J, Van Der Straeten D, et al. Public acceptance and stakeholder views of gene edited foods: a global overview. Trends in Biotechnology, 2023, 41(2): 247-261.
[28] Vengadesen S, Bin Abdul Aziz MF, Jones MGK. Rethinking gene-edited crop regulation: advancing a Principle-based framework for modern biotechnology governance. GM Crops & Food, 2025, 16(1): 2576734.
[29] Syeda A. Harnessing multi-omics and genome-editing technologies for climate-resilient agriculture: bridging AI-driven insights with sustainable crop improvement. Plant Molecular Biology, 2025, 113: 16.
[30] Hua K, Han P, Zhu JK. Improvement of base editors and prime editors advances precision genome engineering in plants. Plant Physiology, 2022, 188(4): 1795-1806.
[31] Ramakrishnan M, Zhang Z, Mullasseri S, et al. Epigenetic stress memory: A new approach to study cold and heat stress responses in plants. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2022, 13: 1075279.
[32] Gallusci P, Agius DR, Moschou PN, et al. Deep inside the epigenetic memories of stressed plants. Trends in Plant Science, 2023, 28(4): 444-45